UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,536	02/10/2004	James J. Rudnick	S63.2N-14438-US05	6703
** -	7590 12/15/200 TT & STEINKRAUS,	EXAMINER		
SUITE 400, 6640 SHADY OAK ROAD			SCHILLINGER, ANN M	
EDEN PRAIRIE, MN 55344			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/775,536	RUDNICK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ANN SCHILLINGER	3774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 Ju</u>	ılv 2009					
	action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	,, pane gaayie, 1000 0.27 1., 10					
4) Claim(s) <u>26-36</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>26-36</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement					
	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmont(a)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 26-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hillstead (US Pat. No. 5,019,085) in view of Kanazawa (JP 07024072). Hillstead discloses the following of the claimed invention: an elongate tubular stent (12) formed of a helically wound wire with nested wire waves (col. 4, lines 4-10; Fig. 3) having varying amplitudes (please see Figure 3). The stent may be constructed into various patterns from a single, continuous helically wound wire (Fig. 3). However, Hillstead does not disclose a cover on the stent. Kanazawa teaches a stent with a porous cover in the abstract for the purpose of providing the stent with excellent biocompatibility and antithrombogenesity. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place a cover on the stent of Hillstead in order to provide the stent with excellent biocompatibility and antithrombogenesity.

Please note that the examiner is interpreting the terms "film" and "membrane" by their dictionary definitions. "Film" is defined as "a thin sheet of any material" (film. Dictionary.com. *Dictionary.com Unabridged (v 1.1)*. Random House, Inc.

http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/film (accessed: May 07, 2008)). "Membrane" is defined as "a thin pliable sheet of material" (membrane. Dictionary.com. *WordNet*® 3.0. Princeton University. http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/membrane (accessed: May 07, 2008)). The

stent covering described in the Kanazawa reference meets the limitations of these terms, as set forth by their respective definitions.

Response to Amendment

The Affidavit filed on 7/14/2009 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the Lau reference.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANN SCHILLINGER whose telephone number is (571)272-6652. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. 9 a.m. to 4 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Isabella can be reached on (571) 272-4749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/775,536 Page 4

Art Unit: 3774

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/A. S./

Examiner, Art Unit 3774

/DAVID ISABELLA/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3774